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(Open Public Meetings Act) CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Roll call.

MS. HUTTER: Mr. Culhane.

MR. CULHANE: Here.

MS. HUTTER: Council Member Curry.

COUNCIL MEMBER CURRY: Here.

MS. HUTTER: Mr. D'Agostino.
Mr. Fette.

MR. FETTE: Here.
MS. HUTTER: Mayor Ghassali.

Mr. Lintner.

MR. LINTNER: Here.

MS. HUTTER: Ms. O'Neill.

MS. O'NEILI: Here.
MS. HUTTER: Mr. Stefanelli.

MR. STEFANELLI: Here.

MS. HUTTER: Mr. Teagno.

Mr. Zitelli.

MR. ZITELLI: Here.

MS. HUTTER: Chairman DePinto.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Here.
(Agenda)

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. The next item on the agenda, Block 2702, Lot 1, Block 2801, Lot 2 and Block 3201, Lot 6, Triboro Square, Mercedes Drive,

Grand Avenue and Glenview Avenue, planned unit development for preliminary and final site plan, (Phase I), planned unit development and preliminary site plan (Phase II), Glenview Road (PUD).

The resolution has been prepared and there are attachments to the resolution and before we start discussing them I'm going to ask Mr. Regan.

Mr. Regan, this is not a normal way that we move forward with the resolution but please advise the Board as to the proper way to deal with the resolution and the attachments.

MR. REGAN: Okay. Well, what we're attempting to do is to bring this matter to a conclusion. The first public hearing on this application was, $I$ believe, in early July. So approximately 10 plus months into the process which is not the longest application that this Board has heard.

When we were dealing with the site plan review with potential Del Ben south piece of property I mentioned that we had the $K$. Hovanian application which took 39 meetings over 36 months. And, initially, it resulted in a denial resolution of 230 pages so this is minor league in comparison.

But what, what $I$ am trying to do, if we go to Page 57 of the resolution which refers to today's
date, May 21 st, and at the bottom of that page at Paragraph 105, the May 7th date is wrong. Initially we thought this might be heard on May 7th so May 7th should be taken out and May 21 st inserted.

Then we are inserting the most recent sets of plans that had yet to be marked. These are plans with revision dates of April 5th and planned revision dates with respect to the landscape revisions of May $10 t h$. And they're Exhibits A-44 through A-51.

As a result of those revised plans, the three board professionals, our engineer, planner and landscape architect are required to write review letters. So in Paragraph 106 I am inserting those three review letters as exhibits Board Exhibits 12, 13 and 14. And I think because of the conditions and requirements that are detailed in those reports, those conditions should be incorporated into the resolution by attaching the resolution, by attaching those resolutions, by attaching those to the approved resolution.

I have one other comment. And when I received my packet from Lorraine Lhe olher day, Lhere were several reports from the Fire Department that had yet to be marked. One is dated $4 / 20 / 19$ which talks about comments and the most recent one 5/16/19 also no
additional comments.
And there's a report of April $23 r d$, it's not -those from the Fire Department. I'm talking about the Police Department, April $23 r d$.

Has everyone received that? We should incorporate that as an exhibit not as an attachment.

I'll read it.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Why don't you read it and $I$ tend to think it should be an attachment to remain consistent with the other reviews.

MR. REGAN: Okay. It's addressed to Mrs.
Hutter. After reviewing the application, the Montvale Police Department has some concerns with the following.
1.) We would like to see the Police Department have access to the underground parking.
2.) We would like to have the ability to enforce Title 39 on the property.
3.) We would like to see a quality camera system with license plate reading ability at all the entrance and exits of the property, also a quality camera system dround Lhe enlife complex wilh sume of the bigger developments we have issues with criminal activity. We don't have video or the video we're supplied with is such bad quality.
4.) Will the emergency access driveway that goes around the back of the hotel property be maintained in the winter months and that type of driveway be plowed and cleared of snow.
5.) I think this is going to be a starter. We would like to see an additional emergency entrance and exit to the Garden state Parkway located on the southwest corner of the property.

We have heard that before.
CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Okay. Aside from those exhibits, do you have anything else?

MR. REGAN: No, I don't have any other
exhibits that I'm aware of.
CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Mr. Del Vecchio, do you
have a copy of the Police review letter?
MR. DEL VECCHIO: I do, Mr. Chairman. I think we have seen a prior iteration with those same comments in it that $I$ believe we had addressed from when Mr. Dipple testified last, whenever we were last. I believe it was in March.

I can address each of those and remind the Board what our responses were if that's appropriate at this time.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Please do.
MR. DEL VECCHIO: I think with access to
the parking, the underground parking area we indicated we would work with the Police Department to facilitate access to the garage for their emergency use.

I don't think we have a problem with Title 39 as requested.

The camera system we indicated that, to the extent we install a camera system we will work with the Police Department to give them reasonable access to it but we are not yet committed to providing a camera system on-site. But if we do, we'll make it available as needed to them.

Emergency access areas would have to be plowed in order to be viable. We indicated that would be part of our maintenance for the site during the winter months.

And we indicated the chances of getting access to the Parkway were not good given the flood hazard area that intervenes between the upland portion that's being developed and the Parkway on the other side of it. So that is not something we can accommodate.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Chris, do you have anything on this?

MR. DOUR: Just that same point. We had mentioned in our letter of May 14 th, Item No. 158, addressed the exact same items Mr. Del Vecchio
mentioned and confirmed that they would provide access to the garage, they would consent to Title 39.

We said that at the time the applicant said it was not going to be installing a camera system, our recommendation was should such a system be installed in the future that availability of recordings to the Police Department shall be provided.

And, finally, again just what Mr. Del Vecchio said, the applicant did say they would maintain access during the winter months.

Access off the Parkway I don't believe was ever discussed until that memo. And because of the wetlands issues and everything, it would be physically impossible.

MR. REGAN: It would be a heavy lift even without that.

MR. DOUR: Correct. Yes, it would be a grade change.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: One of the other things, before we move much further on the resolution and the attachments, there was discussion by Board Members relalive Lo Lhal cerrlral courl dred. I believe the applicant had presented a sample of the synthetic turf material. I don't mean it's necessary, I think we all recall what it was, the synthetic turf
material to be installed. And we've been seeking for a compromise.

The applicant, as $I$ understand it, feels very confident that the proposed material would work well where in fact it is located and accommodate the traffic that's anticipated across it. However, I believe there are Board Members that are more desirous of having natural turf, sod.

And, I thought a reasonable compromise in the situation would be to require the applicant to go with the sod. And if in fact the sod could not be maintained, they would have the opportunity to install the synthetic material.

So let's start it out with natural material. If it becomes a problem or becomes a mud pit or whatever, that it could not be reasonably maintained, then we have to look at other alternatives. And I do want to poll the Board on that.

Ms. Curry, you were pretty vocal on your opinion with respect to that.

Do you want to start off?
COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: Yes. Thank you for
that, replacing the sod. I'm happy to know it's going to have a natural organic environment up at the plaza.

The, when you talk about the sod being replaced
if it doesn't work out, the sod can't be replaced by sod. So the first time it doesn't work will it be replaced immediately with the plastic?

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: I'm just saying if it's problematic. Nobody wants it to be a public safety concern. Nobody wants it from an esthetic point of view. If it turns into a mud pit, bad habits a few times then $I$ think we have to look at pavers, we have to look at another alternative.

But starting out at least with the sod, that would be your preference?

COUNCIIWOMAN CURRY: Yes. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Lintner.
MR. LINTNER: I sit next to Mrs. Curry so I am in agreement with her.

COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: So is my husband.
MR. LINTNER: There you go. I think it's a good compromise to start with the sod.

I like the imitation turf. I thought the turf was nice but $I$ can understand Mrs. Curry's preference and starting with the sod certainly sounds like a good compromise.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Thank you.
Mr. Zitelli.
MR. ZITELLI: I think that's a good
compromise. And although I'm not, you know, a biologist or ergonomist although $I$ suggest look at zoysia, zoysia grass takes a beating. This stuff takes a beating, doesn't require much maintenance. Just as a suggestion zoysia would be my guess, something that's very durable.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Thank you.
Mr. Culhane.

MR. CULHANE: I think it's a good compromise.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Fette.
MR. FETTE: Yeah. I think it's -- I was kind of impressed with the sample that they supplied but I have no problem starting out with the sod.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Thank you.

Rose.
MR. LINTNER: See that sampling.
COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: I think of animals going on that, they're going to be urinating on plastic and that will always be there.

Right?
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Let Rose hold that.
COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: No. No.
CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Jeff, do you have
anything else? Jeff, anything else?
MR. FETTE: No.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Stefanelli.

MR. STEFANELLI: I actually think the turf
is good but I'll settle for a compromise. The only
thing is that I'd like to have something in language in there so they don't have to appear in front of us to change it out.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Yeah. Obviously, we don't want to subject them to an application for amended site plan approval.

Bob, if we could work that out.
MR. REGAN: Yeah. You have to help me with the wording.

Add it as a condition?

COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: Please add the animal
urination in there also.

MR. REGAN: You have to give me the spelling on that.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Ms. O'Neill.

MS. O'NEILL: I see no reason why we can't
try the sod first.
MR. REGAN: We would add maybe condition

37 on Page 8?
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Yeah. What do we refer
to that sample core area?

MR. DEL VECCHIO: It's the plaza generally referred to in the plans.

MR. REGAN: The plaza area shall be developed with natural sod.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Natural sod.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: Grass.
MR. REGAN: Natural grass.
MR. DEL VECCHIO: Shall be planted.
MR. REGAN: Shall be, shall be planted rather than developed.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: Right.
MR. STEFANELLI: Right.
MR. REGAN: Anymore detail?
CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: No. I think what you want the resolution to say is if the planting or the sod does not survive and the applicant seeks to modify the planting with another cover, be it, be it the synthetic or the pavers.

MR. REGAN: To change the cover.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: They would merely have
to go before the Buildiny Deparlmenl Lu yel Lhe necessary approvals not before the full Board.

Is that okay with you, Rose?

COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: Yes. My concern is
that if it's a, a very hot summer and it's not watered
then it goes to pot and then all of a sudden it's
going to be a Building Department change if the first
year.
So how do you --
MR. STEFANELLI: I don't think they're
going to want to spend that kind of money the first
year.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Yeah. Do you want to
put a two year period on it Mr. Del Vecchio?
Is that reasonable?
MR. DEL VECCHIO: I mean there's got to be
some level of trust. We're trying to put smiles on
everybody's face. We don't want to do things twice.
MR. REGAN: Let me read what I have so
far.
CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: Sure.
MR. REGAN: This is going to be Condition
37 on Page 80, the plaza area shall be planted with
natural grass, should the sod not survive, the
applicant seeks to change the cover. Applicant shall
obtain approval of the Building Department for such
moditication.
COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: Bingo.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: I think that's it.

Okay. Let's move on. First let's call for a motion to introduce the resolution. MR. STEFANELLI: So move. MS. O'NEILL: second. CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Stefanelli and Ms. O'Neill.

Okay. And Mr. Regan any other changes? MR. REGAN: No. We had probably three or four different iterations of this thing. And I apologize to the environmental. We rent through reams and reams of paper. So I don't think $I$ have anymore changes.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: And, Mr. Del Vecchio. MR. DEL VECCHIO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I
think there's just one area that's a little inconsistent and we just need to make consistent and I'll offer a suggestion in a moment.

The two provisions I'm referring to I guess is Condition No. 7 on page, my Page 74, it could be slightly off with my version of the last line and Condition No. 10 on Page 75.

Condition 7 says construction vehicles accessing the site shall be required to coordinate access with construction official and his department and shall access via Chestnut Ridge Road and Grand Avenue with
no construction vehicles traversing Spring Valley Road to Philips Parkway. We interpret that to reflect the NSA statement that we made during the course of the hearing that we will not use Philips Parkway as a means to avoid having to micro-resurface Philips Parkway which was a subsequent discussion.

And then Condition No. 10 says the applicant shall be required to perform micro-resurfacing of Philips Parkway which shall be performed prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.

So I have one paragraph that says I can't use it and the other one says I'm going to fix it. So if I'm not using it $I$ really shouldn't be fixing it. So my suggestion is we modify those two to read something along the lines of, if the applicant uses Philips Parkway then we will micro-resurface any damage that occurs but if we're not using it we really shouldn't be obligated to do anything to it.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Hold on. Let's see with Chris.

Chris, what are your thoughts?
MR. DOUR: I tend to agree. The whole point was that if he's going to be using philips Parkway because it has a microsurface, we have to re-microsurface it again.

They're already agreeing to mill and pave the entire length of Mercedes Drive in recognition of the fact that that's going to be the main access for construction vehicles.

So, again, if you're not using Philips Parkway it doesn't make sense for them to microsurface.

MR. REGAN: Remember Rose really
emphasized the issue.
COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: Yes. So the --
MR. REGAN: I got it. I was thinking of you when $I$ put that in.

COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: So right now, from the area of the fill on the corner of Philips and Grand, that, that road already has been, I don't know if I could use the word destroyed but the curbs and everything else, the trucks going in and out of there, it's already happened. If the applicant is not going to be using Philips Parkway and they do use Grand then should they not micropave, resurface Grand Avenue? MR. DEL VECCHIO: Grand Avenue is a County road.

COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: Then perhaps I would hate to put this off any longer but maybe the county then needs to get involved if there's going to be how many trucks of fill going up and down Grand Avenue?

MR. DEL VECCHIO: About 30 a day $I$ think we calculated.

COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: Right. So perhaps the County would like to be involved in having that put in there that they would need to pave it if they're going to be using Grand Avenue.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: The County Developer's Agreement already contains provision if we damage any roads we're obligated to fix them. It's a standard condition of approval.

COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: I find it hard to --
MR. DEL VECCHIO: And the volume of trucks that come up and down Grand Avenue outside of this development is enormous.

COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: Right.
MR. DEL VECCHIO: It's the major
thoroughfare. So to say our 30 trucks are going to add any major burden to that roadway --

COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: For the project.
MR. DEI VECCHIO: -- a day.
COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: And what's the
tonnage on that? Do we know?
MR. DEL VECCHIO: 15 cubic yard dump
trucks so they're probably not any heavier than a standard tractor trailer.

MR. DOUR: 30,000 pounds.

COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: I just feel that, as good neighbors to the town of Montvale, I don't think that the Mercedes development wants to have 30 trucks going up and down the road on Grand a day. I think they're going to want to use Philips around the corner and then come up Mercedes just to be a good neighbor to the town.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Well -- but I think, if we modify that saying if they use it they shall do.

MR. REGAN: Okay. Why don't you leave 7 worded the way it is and then put the applicant shall be required to perform microsurfacing of Philips Parkway which shall be performed prior to the Certificate of Occupancy if it is determined from police reports and observations that Philips Parkway has been used for truck access.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: And cause damage.
MR. REGAN: And cause damage.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Yeah. I think
that's --
MR. REGAN: It's not fool proof. It's nol a silver bullet.

MR. DOUR: You could strike No. 7 and just mention that no construction vehicles traversing

Spring Valley Road period and get rid of the reference to Philips Parkway so in the event they use it -MR. REGAN: Okay. Okay. So 7 would remain the same and you put the period at the end of Spring Valley Road and strike Philips Parkway.

Okay?
COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: I was wrong. So I think No. 7, didn't that have to deal with a similar, how we had to put in for Wegmans' trucks.

MR. REGAN: Yeah, similar.
COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: And come down Spring Valley Road.

MR. DOUR: They came down Paragon.
COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: They came out from that. If they were coming from the thruway at they would go out 45, take a left, drive down Chestnut Ridge and come down that way.

MR. DOUR: They would drive --
COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: There were no trucks
on Spring Valley Road.
MR. DOUR: They would go north into New York and come down Chestnut Ridge and Lurn on Summil, down Paragon across to Philips and up to Mercedes.

COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: So the concern was not truckloads of dirt on Spring Valley Road, the
concern was trucks on Spring Valley Road.
MR. DOUR: Right.
COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: As a go path. So
that really doesn't have -- I see how this is different, No. 10 is different from No. 7 because of that. We don't want them coming down Spring Valley Road, right, for construction.

MR. DOUR: Right.
COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: We want them coming down Chestnut Ridge.

MR. DOUR: Correct.
COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: And then, then
they're using Philips Parkway to, to transport the tonnage of dirt. If that needs to be resurfaced at the end of this construction then they will do that.

MR. REGAN: Okay. I think what we could do then on 7, do what Chris indicated, strike the words to Philips Parkway from 7 and then on 10 the applicant shall be required to perform microsurfacing on Philips Parkway, shall be performed prior to receiving the Certificate of Occupancy should Philips farkway be damaged by vehicles accessing the site.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: As long as it's our vehicles not just any vehicles.

MR. REGAN: Right. So we're going to
strike Philips Parkway, to Philips Parkway on 7. I just want to be sure $I$ get this right because people will be reading this resolution 10 years from now. I won't be.

Then on 10 the applicant shall be required to perform microsurfacing of the Parkway which shall be performed prior to the Certificate of Occupancy should said roadway be damaged by construction vehicles accessing applicant's site.

MR. CULHANE: Question on that.

How do you prove 30 trucks did the damage? CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Well, they're referenced in the Police Department.

MR. REGAN: It would have to be Police Department reports unless there's some kind of report.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Fette, you were looking to be recognized earlier.

What's your comment on this?
MR. FETTE: I was going to say the same thing that Ms. Curry mentioned and that was the fact the we had that big pile of dirt down there at the bottom, at the corner of Grand Avenue and Philips and I remember discussion that that was going to be used on the site. So they're going to be driving on Philips for some portion of it whether it's just on

Philips right out to Grand or all the way down Philips.

MR. REGAN: Unless there's observations by someone the Police Department would be the most --

MR. DEL VECCHIO: I would just point out through practicality, Maser's office is probably on-site everyday during construction anyway doing site inspections. They're going to know better than anybody else because they're there.

MR. REGAN: They probably will be observing more than the police.

MR. FETTE: More than likely.
MR. REGAN: Okay.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Mr. Fette and Maggie.

Okay. Discussion from Board Members, questions, comments, corrections?

And, Mr. Del Vecchio, do you have anything else on this?

MR. DEL VECCHIO: No, Mr. Chairman. That was it.

CHAIRMAN DEPINTO: And Mr. Regan, do you feel that the Board is in the position to act on the resolution this evening inclusive of the amendments made thereto?

MR. REGAN: Right. I think it is and I have a comfort level because of the reports of our engineer, planner and landscape architect as exhibits. CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Okay. Very good. With that said if there's no further discussion roll call vote please. MS. HUTTER: Mr. Culhane. MR. CULHANE: Yes. MS. HUTTER: Councilwoman Curry. COUNCILWOMAN CURRY: Yes. MS. HUTTER: Mr. Fette. MR. FETTE: Yes. MS. HUTTER: Mr. Lintner. MR. LINTNER: Yes. MS. HUTTER: Ms. O'Neill. MS. O'NEILL: Yes. MS. HUTTER: Mr. Stefanelli. MR. STEFANELLI: Yes. MS. HUTTER: Mr. Zitelli. MR. ZITELII: Yes. MS. HUTTER: Chairman DePinto. CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Yes.

Okay. Well, thank you very much, gentlemen. MR. DEL VECCHIO: Thank you Chairman, members of the Board.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Mr. Hekemian is here.

Mr. Hekemian, thank you for your patience.
MR. HEKEMIAN: I just want to thank -MR. DEL VECCHIO: Try that one.

No public input tonight.

MR. HEKEMIAN: I just want to thank the Chairman, Board Members, Borough professionals on behalf of myself, Douglas Cohen and the company, the family for your work on this. It was a little bit of a grinder. You know, it's a controversial sort of application, the whole concept of Mercedes leaving and what do you do with this thing and the reinvention, the reenvisioning of what the site can be in combination and concert with the DePiero Farm project across the way and we really believe in what we presented to you.

And as you have seen with us on DePiero Farm we're trying everyday to fulfill the vision of that project. We're getting close to, to wrapping it up actually as it relates to the top phases and we take pride in working on the project. We were out there today, you know, we're excited, it looks good, people seem excited. It's busy, it's vigorous. The idea really is to copy that energy and bring it across the street, a sort of neighborhood feel.

CHAIRMAN DePINTO: I would like to add to that.

MR. HEKEMIAN: That's it.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: I was on the property
this afternoon because we had expressed concerns about the plantings on the property and how a number of the evergreens had died and other plantings were not doing as well as we add anticipated. And we walked a fairly good portion of that property this afternoon. And I observed a number of plantings have been replaced.

There were crews working on the site when we were there. It's looking much better now than it did 30 days ago or 45 days ago. And we're confident that it will keep improving as time goes on and as they grow.

It took a lot of votes about different things he's going to have his people look into with respect to the appearance of the site. So I think we have a good working relationship with Peter and company.

And I think that moving forward on to Triboro Square now that we addressed the plastic plaza issue, I think we wi $\perp 1$ wind up with something really good, that we could be very proud of.

And, thank you for choosing Montvale. MR. ZITELLI: Thanks.

MS. O'NEILL: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN DePINTO: Thank you and have a good evening.
(The hearing adjourns 8:30 p.m.)

DONNA LYNN J. ARNOLD, C.C.R.
LICENSE NO. XIOO991
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 08/04/19



| 19:6, 19:13, 20:5, | 22:17 | likely [1] - 24:12 | micro [3]-17:5, 17:8, | $25: 24,26: 3,26: 4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23:22, 24:1 | input [1] - 26:5 | line [1] - 16:20 | 7:16 | $26: 6,27: 3,27: 25$ |
| GRAND [1] - 1:4 | inserted [1] - 5:4 | lines [1] - 17:15 | micro-resurface [2] - | MS [21]-3:3, 3:5, 3:7, |
| grass [4] - 12:3, 14:7. | inserting [2]-5:5, | LINTNER [6] - 1:14, | 17:5, 17:16 | 3:10, 3:13, 3:14, |
| 14:8, 15:20 | 5:13 | 3:12, 11:14, 11:17, | micro-resurfacing | 3:15, 3:17, 3:20, |
| GREEN [1] - 1:19 | inspections [1] - 24:8 | 12:18, $25: 14$ | - 17:8 | 13:21, 16:4, 25:7, |
| grinder [1] - 26:10 | install [2] - 8:7, 10:12 | Lintner [3]-3:11, | micropave [1] - 18:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 9,25: 11,25: 13 \\ & 25: 15.25: 16.25: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { grow [1] - 27:15 } \\ & \text { guess [2] - 12:5, } \end{aligned}$ | installed [2] - $9: 5$, | $11: 13,25: 13$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { microsurface }[3]- \\ 17: 24,17: 25,18: \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 15,25: 16,25: 17 \\ & 25: 19,25: 21,28: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | , | longest [1] - 4:17 | microsurfacing [3] - | mud [2] - 10:15, 11:7 |
|  | interested [1] - 29:10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { look [5] - 10:17, 11:8, } \\ 11: 9,12: 2,27: 17 \end{gathered}$ | $20: 13,22: 19,23: 6$ $\text { might [1] - } 5: 3$ | MY [1] - 29:18 |
| ```habits [1] - 11:7 happy [1] - 10:23 hard [1] - 19:11 hate [1] - 18:23 hazard [1] - 8:17 heard \([3]-4: 17,5: 3\), 7:9 hearing [3]-4:14, 17:4, 28:4 heavier [1] - 19:24 heavy [1] - 9:15 Hekemian [2]-26:1, 26:2``` | intervenes [1] - 8:18 | 11:9, 12:2, 27:17 looking [2]-23:17, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { might }[1]-5: 3 \\ & \text { mill }[1]-18: 1 \end{aligned}$ | N |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:12 } \\ & \text { looks [1] - 26:22 } \end{aligned}$ | minor [1] - 4:23 <br> modification [1] - |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { natural }[7]-10: 8 \\ & \text { 10:14, 10:24, 14:5, } \\ & 14: 6,14: 8,15: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { involved [2] - 18:24, } \\ & 19: 4 \end{aligned}$ | Lorraine [1] - 5:22 | $15: 23$ |  |
|  | issuance [1] - 17:10 |  | modify [3] - 14:17 |  |
|  | issue [2] - 18:8, 27:21 <br> issues [2] - 6:23, 9:13 | LORRAINE [1]-1:18 <br> LOT [3] - 1:3, 1:4 | $17: 14,20: 10$ <br> moment [1] - 16:17 | necessary [2] - 9:24, |
|  |  | LYNN $[1]$ - 29:1 Lynn [1]-1:25 |  | 14:23 |
|  | item [1] - 3:23 |  | money [1] - 15:7 | needed [1] - 8:11 |
|  | Item [1]-8:24 | M | $4: 21,7: 3,8: 15,9: 10$ <br> MONTVALE [1] - 1:1 | needs [2] - 18:24, |
|  | iteration [1]-7:17 |  |  | $22: 14$ |
|  | iterations [1] - 16:9 | Maggie [1] - 24:15 <br> MAGGIE [1] - 1:15 <br> main [1] - 18:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Montvale }[5]-1: 10 \\ & 1: 23,6: 12,20: 3 \\ & 27: 24 \end{aligned}$ | neighborhood [1] - $26: 25$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { HEKEMIAN }[3]-26: 3, \\ & 26: 6,27: 3 \end{aligned}$ | J | main [1] - 18:3 <br> maintain [1] - 9:9 | $\begin{aligned} & 27: 24 \\ & \operatorname{most}[3]-5: 5,5: 25, \end{aligned}$ | 26:25 <br> neighbors [ 1 ] - 20:3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { help }[1]-13: 13^{\text {herein }[1]-29: 5} \\ & \text { HIPOLIT [1] - 1:17 } \\ & \text { hold }[2]-12: 23,17: 19 \end{aligned}$ | Jeff [2]-12:25, 13:1 | maintained [3] - 7:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{most}[3]-5: 5,5: 25, \\ & 24: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New }[3]-1: 10,1: 23, \\ & 21: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Jersey [2] - 1:10, 1:23 JIMMY [1] - 1:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { maintenance [2] - } \\ & 8: 14,12: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { motion [1] - 16:2 } \\ & \text { move } 44]-4: 8,9: 20 \\ & 16: 1,16: 3 \end{aligned}$ | next [2] - 3:23, 11:14 |
| hold [2] - 12:23, 17:19 hot [1] - 15:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JOHN [2] - 1:12, 1:14 } \\ & \text { July [1] - 4:15 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { major [2] - 19:16, } \\ & 19: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $16: 1,16: 3$ | nice [1] - 11:20 |
| hotel [1]-7:2 |  |  | MR [89] - 3:4, 3:9, | nobody [2] - 11:5, |
| Hovanian [1] - 4:20 |  | March [1] - 7:20 marked [2] - 5:6, 5:24 | 3:12, 3:16, 3:19, | 11:6 |
| husband [1] - 11:16 | K |  |  | ormal [1] - 4:8 |
| HUTTER [17] - 1:18, |  |  | $9: 15,9: 17,11: 14$ | SA [1] - 17:3 |
| 3:3, 3:5, 3:7, 3:10, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { keep }[1]-27: 14 \\ & \text { kind }[3]-12: 14,15: 7 \\ & 23: 15 \end{aligned}$ |  | 11:17, 11:25, 12:9, | number [2] - 27:6, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3: 13,3: 15,3: 17 \\ & 3: 20,25: 7,25: 9 \end{aligned}$ |  | $10: 1,10: 4,10: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 17,11: 25,12: 9 \\ & 12: 13,12: 18,13: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 27:10 |
| $25: 11,25: 13,25: 15$ |  | matter [1]-4:1 | $13: 4,13: 13,13: 18$ |  |
| 25:17, 25:19, 25:21 |  | MAYOR[1] - 1:19 | 13:23, 14:2, 14:4, |  |
| Hutter [1] - 6:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iandscape }[3]-5: 8 \text {, } \\ & \text { 5:12, 25:3 } \\ & \text { language }[1]-13: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May or }[1]-3: 10 \\ & \text { mean }[2]-9: 24,15: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 14:7, 14:8, 14:9, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O'NEILL [6] - 1:15, } \\ & 3: 14,13: 21,16: 4 \\ & 25: 16,28: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | means [1] - 17:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 14,14: 20,15: 6 \\ & 15: 12,15: 15,15: 18 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| idea [1]-26:23 | last [3] - 7:19, 16:20 | Meetings [1] - 3: | 16:3, 16:8, 16:14, | O'Neill ${ }^{44]}$ - 3:13, |
| II [2] - 1:7, 4:4 | league [1] - 4:23 | Member[1] - 3:5 | 17:22, 18:7, 18:10, | 13:20, 16:6, 25:15 |
| imitation [1] - 11:19 | least[1]-11:10 | MEMBER [1]-3:6 | 18:20, 19:1, 19:7, <br> 19:12, 19:16, 19:20. | obligated [2] - 17:18, |
| immediately [1] - 11:3 | leave [1] - 20:11 | members [1] - 25:25 | $19: 12,19: 16,19: 20$ | $19: 9$ |
| impossible [1] - 9:14 | leaving [1]-26:11 | Members [4] - 9:22, | $19: 23,20: 1,20: 11$ | $20: 16,24: 3$ |
| impressed [1] - 12:14 | left [1] - 21:16 | 10:7, 24:16, $26: 7$ | 20:18, 20:19, 20:22, | observed [1] - 27:10 |
| improving [1] - 27:14 | length [1]-18:2 | memo [1] - 9:12 | $21: 13,21: 18,21: 21$ | observing [1] - 24:11 |
| inclusive [1]-24:24 | Letter (3)-2:5, 2:6, | mention [1] 20:2 | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 13,21: 18,21: 21, \\ & 22: 2,22: 8,22: 11, \end{aligned}$ | obtain [1]-15:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { inconsistent }[1] \text { - } \\ & 16: 16 \end{aligned}$ | 2:7 letter [2] - 7:15, 8:24 | $\begin{gathered} \text { mentioned }[4]-4: 20 \\ 8: 24,9: 1,23: 20 \end{gathered}$ | 22:16, 22:23, 22:25, | obviously [1] - 13:9 |
| incorporate [1] - 6:6 | letters [2]-5:13, 5:14 | MERCEDES ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:4 | 23:10, 23:14, 23:19, | Occupancy [4] - <br> 17.10, 20.15, 22.21 |
| incorporated [1] - | level [2] - 15:13, 25:2 | Mercedes [7]-1:9, | 24:12, 24:13, 24:20, | $23: 7$ |
| 5:17 | license [ 7 ]-6:20 | 3:25, 18:2, 20:4, | $25: 1,25: 8,25: 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { occurs [1]-17:17 } \\ & \text { OF [2]-1:1, 1:2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { indicated }[5]-8: 1 \text {, } \\ 8: 6,8: 13,8: 16, \end{gathered}$ | LICENSE [1] - 29:18 <br> lift [1] - 9:15 | $20: 7,21: 23,26: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 1,25: 8,25: 12 \\ & 25: 14,25: 18,25: 20 \end{aligned}$ |  |


| offer [1] - 16:17 office [1] - 24:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:3, 4:4 } \\ & \text { phases [1]-26:20 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { preliminary }[2]-4: 2, \\ & 4: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reams [2] - 16:10, } \\ & 16: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 19,23: 5 \\ & \text { requirements [1] - } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OFFICIAL [1]-1:18 | Philips [25] - 17:2, | Preliminary [2] - 1:5, | reason [1] - 13:21 | 5:16 |
| official [1] - 16:24 | 17:4, 17:5, 17:9 | 1:6 | reasonable [3]-8:8, | resolution [14]-4:5, |
| on-site [2] - 8:10, 24:7 | :15, 17:23, 18:5, | prepared [1] - 4:5 | 10:9, 15:1 | $4: 6,4: 9,4: 10,4: 22$, |
| one [8]-5:21, 5:24, | 18:13, 18:18, 20:6, | presented [2]-9:23, | reasonably [1] - 10:16 | 4:25, 5:17, 5:18, |
| 5:25, 9:19, 16:15, | 20:13, 20:16, 21:2, | 26:16 | received [2] - 5:21, 6:5 | 20, 9:20, 14:16, |
| 17:11, 17:12, 26:4 | 21:5, 21:23, 22:13, | PRESIDENT [1] - 1:13 | receiving [1] - 22:21 | 16:2, 23:3, 24:24 |
| Open [1] - 3:1 | 22:18, 22:20, 22:21, | pretty [1] - 10:19 | recent [2]-5:5, 5:25 | resolutions [1]-5:19 |
| opinion [1] - 10:19 | 1, 23:22, 23:25, | pride [1] - 26:21 | recognition [1] - 18:2 | $\text { respect }[3]-5: 8 \text {, }$ |
| opportunity [1] - | $24: 1,24: 2$ | problem [3] - 8:4, | recognized [1] - 23:17 | $10: 20,27: 17$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10: 12 \\ & \text { order }[1]-8: 13 \end{aligned}$ | physically [1] - 9:13 <br> piece[1]-4:19 | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 15,12: 15 \\ & \text { problematic }[1]-11: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { recommendation }[1] \text { - } \\ & 9: 5 \end{aligned}$ | responses [1] - 7:22 <br> result [1]-5:10 |
| organic [1] - 10:24 | pile [1]-23:21 | proceedings [1] - 29:3 | recordings [1]-9:6 | resulted [1] - 4:22 |
| outside [1] - 19:13 | pit [2] - 10:15, 11:7 | process [1]-4:16 | reenvisioning [1] - | resurface [3]-17:5, |
| P | plan [4]-4:2, 4:4 | 11 | refer [1] - 13 | resurfaced [1] - 22:14 |
|  | 号 | project [4]-19:19 | reference [1] - 21:1 | resurfacing [1] - 17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { p.m }[2]-1: 10,28: 4 \\ & \text { packet }[1]-5: 22 \\ & \text { PADOVANO [1] - 1:21 } \\ & \text { Page }[5]-4: 25,13: 24, \\ & 15: 19,16: 19,16: 21 \\ & \text { PAGE }[1]-2: 2 \\ & \text { page }[2]-5: 1,16: 19 \\ & \text { pages }[1]-4: 23 \\ & \text { paper }[1]-16: 11 \\ & \text { Paragon }[2]-21: 13, \\ & 21: 23 \\ & \text { paragraph }[1]-17: 11 \end{aligned}$ | Plan [2] - 1:6, 1:7 <br> planned [3]-4:1, 4:3, | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 14,26: 19,26: 21 \\ & \text { proof [1] - 20:22 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { referenced }[1]-23: 13 \\ & \text { referred }[1]-14: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Review }[3]-2: 5,2: 6 \text {, } \\ & 2: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 5:7 | proper [1] - 4:10 | referring [1] - 16:1 | $\text { review }[4]-4: 18,5: 12$ |
|  | Planned [3]-1:5, 1:6, 2:4 | property $[8]$ - 4:19, | refers [1] - 4:25 | $5: 14,7: 15$ |
|  | planne | 27:4, 27:6, 27 | REGAN $[30$ - 1 : | reviews [1]-6:10 |
|  | 25:3 | proposed [1] - 10 | 4:12, 6:11, 7:12 | revised [1] - 5:10 |
|  | PLANNER ${ }_{[1]}-1: 19$ | proud [1]-27:23 | 9:15, 13:13, 13:18 | Revision [2] - 2:3, 2:4 |
|  | PLANNING ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:1 | prove [1]-23:1 | 13:23, 14:4, 14:8, | revision [2] - 5: |
|  | plans [4]-5:6, 5:10, | provide [1] - 9: | 14:10, 14:14, 14:20, | revisions [1] - 5:8 |
|  | 14:3 | provided [1] - 9: | 15:15, 15:18, 16:8, | rid [1]-21:1 |
|  | planted [3]-14:9 | providing [1] - 8: | 18:7, 18:10, 20:11, | Ridge [5] - 1:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Paragraph }[2]-5: 2 \text {, } \\ & 5: 13 \\ & \text { parking }[3]-6: 16,8: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $14: 10,15: 19$ <br> planting [2]-14:16, | provision [1]-19:8 | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 19,20: 22,21: 3 \\ & 21: 10,22: 16,22: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 25,21: 17,21: 22 \\ & 22: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | planting [2]-14:16, $14: 18$ | provisions [1] - 16:18 | 21:10, 22:16, 22:25, | 22:10 |
| parking [3] - 6:16, 8:1 <br> Parkway [23]-7:7, | 14:18 plantin | Public [1]-3:1 | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 14,24: 3,24: 10 \\ & 24: 13,25: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Road [12] - 1:7, 1:22, } \\ 4: 4,16: 25,17: 1, \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8: 17,8: 19,9: 11 \\ & 17: 2,17: 4,17: 6 \\ & 17: 9,17: 16,17: 24 \end{aligned}$ | $27: 7,27: 10$ | $26: 5$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Regan }[4]-4: 7,4: 8, \\ 16: 7,24: 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 1,21: 5,21: 12 \\ & 21: 20,21: 25,22: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { plastic [3]-11:3, } \\ 12: 21,27: 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\text { PUD [1] - } 1:$ | 16:7, 24:22 <br> reinvention [4] - 26:12 | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 20,21: 25,22: 1, \\ & 22: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | plate [1] - 6:20 |  | relates [1]-26:20 | road [3] - 18:14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18: 5,18: 18,20: 14 \\ & 20: 16,21: 2,21: 5 \\ & 22: 13,22: 18,22: 20 \end{aligned}$ | plaza [5] - 10:24, 14:2, | $18: 11,18: 23,19: 5$ | relationship [1] - | 18:21, 20:5 |
|  | $14: 4,15: 19,27: 21$ | $20: 12,21: 4,21: 9$ | $27: 19$ | roads [1] - 19:9 |
| $\text { part [1] }-8: 14$ | plus [1] - 4:1 | Q | $\begin{aligned} & \text { relative }[3]-9: 22 \text {, } \\ & 29: 7,29: 9 \\ & \text { remain }[2]-6: 10,21: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 23:8 |
| parties [1] - 29:8path [1]-22:3 | point [4]-8:23, 11:6 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROBERT [2] - 1:16, } \\ & 1: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 17:23, $24: 5$ | quali | $\begin{aligned} & \text { remember [2] - 18:7, } \\ & 23: 23 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Police [10] - 6:4, 6:13, | $6: 21,6: 25$ |  | roll [2]-3:2, 25:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { patience [1] - 26:2 } \\ & \text { pave [2] - 18:1, 19:5 } \end{aligned}$ | 15, 7:15, 8:2, $8: 8$ | questions [1] - 24:16 | remind [1] - 7:21 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { pavers [2] - 11:8, } \\ & 14: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 7, 23:13, 23:14, |  | rent [1]-16:10 | $\operatorname{ROSE}[1]-1: 13$ |
|  | $24: 4$ | $R$ | replaced [4] - 10:25, | Rose [3] - 12:23, |
| $\begin{gathered} 26: 22,27: 17 \\ \text { perform }[4]-17: 8 \\ 20: 13,22: 19,23: 6 \end{gathered}$ | $24: 1$ | rather [1]-14:11 | replacing [1] - 10:23 | :24, 18:7 |
|  | poll [1]-10:18 portion [3]-8:18 | re[1]-17:25 <br> re-microsu | report [2]-6:2, 23:15 | S |
| performed [4] - 17:9, | $23: 25,27: 9$ | $17: 25$ | reports [5] 5:16 | safety [1]-11:5 |
| $20: 14,22: 20,23: 7$ <br> perhaps [2] - 18:22, | position [1]- <br> pot ${ }^{[1]}$ - 15:2 | $15: 15,17: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5: 23,20: 16,23: 15 \\ & 25: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sample }[3]-9: 2 \\ 12: 14,14: 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| 19:3 | potential $[1]-4: 19$ | reading[2] - 6:20, | requested [1]-8:5 | sampling [1] - 12:18 |
| period [3]-15:10 | pounds [1] - 20:1 | 23:3 | require [2]-10:10, | second [1]-16:4 |
| 21:1, 21:4 | practicality [1]-24:6 | really [7]-17:13, | 12:4 | SECRETARY [1] - |
| Peter [1]-27:19 | preference [2] - 11:11, | $17: 17,18: 7,22: 4$ | required [6]-5:12, | $1: 18$ |
| Phase [4]-1:6, 1:7, | 11:20 |  | 16:23, 17:8, 20:13, | see $[7]-6: 15,6: 19$, |


| 7:6, 12:18, 13:21, | statement [1] - 17:3 | transcript [1] - 29:3 | 8:25, 9:8, 15:10, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:19, 22:4 | STEFANELLI[7] - | Transcription [1] - | 16:13, 24:18 |
| seeking [1] - 10:1 | 1:12, 3:16, 13:4, | 1:25 | vehicles [8] - 16:22, |
| seeks [2]-14:17. | 14:13, 15:6, 16:3, | transport[1] - 22:13 | 17:1, 18:4, 20:25 |
| 15:21 | 25:18 | traversing [2]-17:1, | 22:22, 22:24, $23: 8$ |
| seem[1] - 26:23 | Stefanelli [4]-3:15, | 20:25 | version [1] - 16:20 |
| sense [1]-18:6 | 13:3, 16:5, 25:17 | Triboro [2]-3:25, | via [1]-16:25 |
| Services [1]-1:25 | stenographically [1] - | 27:20 | viable [1] - 8:13 |
| set [1]-29:5 | 29:4 | TRIBORO [1] - 1:4 | VICE ${ }_{\text {[1] }}$ - $1: 12$ |
| sets [1]-5:5 | street [1] - 26:25 | truck [1] - 20:17 | video [2]-6:24 |
| settle [1]-13:5 | strike [4]-20:24, | truckloads [1] - 21:25 | view [1] - 11:7 |
| several [1] - 5:23 | 21:5, 22:17, 23:1 | trucks [10] - 18:16, | vigorous [1] - 26:23 |
| shall [18]-9:7, 14:4, | stuff [1] - 12:3 | 18:25, 19:12, 19:17, | vision [1] - 26:18 |
| 14:9, 14:10, 15:19, | subject [1] - 13:10 | 19:24, 20:4, 21:9, | vocal [1] - 10:19 |
| 15:21, 16:23, 16:24, | subsequent [1] - 17:6 | 21:19, 22:1, 23:11 | volume [1] - 19:12 |
| 17:8, 17:9, 20:10, | sudden [1] - 15:2 | true [1]-29:2 | vote [1]-25:6 |
| 20:12, 20:14, 22:19, | suggest [1] - 12:2 | trust [1] - 15:13 | votes [1]-27:16 |
| 22:20, 23:5, 23:6 | suggestion [3] - 12:5, | try [2]-13:22, 26:4 |  |
| side [1] - 8:19 | 16:17, 17:14 | trying [3] - 4:24, | W |
| silver [1] - 20:23 | Suite [1]-1:22 | 15:13, 26:18 |  |
| similar [2]-21:8, | summer[1] - 15:1 | Tuesday [1] - 1:8 | walked [1] - 27:8 |
| 21:10 | Summit [1] - 21:22 | turf [6]-9:24, 9:25, | wants [3]-11:5, 11:6, |
| sit[1]-11:14 | supplied [2] - 6:25, | 10:8, 11:19, 13:4 | 20:4 |
| site [15]-4:2, 4:4, | 12:14 | turn [1] - 21:22 | watered [1]-15:1 |
| 4:18, 8:10, 8:14, | survive [2] - 14:17 | turns [1] - 11:7 | Wegmans' [1] - 21:9 |
| 13:11, 16:23, 22:22, | 15:20 | twice [1] - 15:14 | wetlands [1]-9:13 |
| 23:9, 23:24, 24:7, | synthetic [4] - 9:24, | two [3]-15:10, 16:18, | whole [2] - 17:22, |
| 26:13, 27:11, 27:18 | 9:25, 10:13, 14:19 | 17:14 | 26:11 |
| Site [2]-1:6, 1:6 <br> situation [1] - 10: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { system }[7]-6: 20, \\ & 6: 22,8: 6,8: 7,8 \end{aligned}$ | type [1] - 7:3 | WILLIAM [1] - 1:14 |
| slightly [1] - 16:20 <br> smiles [1] - 15:13 | 9:4, 9:5 | U | $\begin{aligned} & \text { winter }[3]-7: 3,8: 14, \\ & 9: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { snow }[1]-7: 4 \\ & \text { sod }[16]-10: 8,10: 11 \end{aligned}$ | T | $\begin{aligned} & \text { underground [2] - } \\ & 6: 16,8: 1 \end{aligned}$ | word [1] - 18:15 |
| 10:23, 10:25, 11:1, | talks [1]-5:24 | unit [2] - 4:1, 4:3 | wording [ 1 ]-13:14 |
| 11:2, 11:10, 11:18, | Teagno [1]-3:17 | Unit [2] - 1:5, 1:6 | words [1]-22:18 |
| 11:21, 12:15, 13:22, | TEAGNO [1] - 1:15 | unless [2]-23:15, | wrapping [1]-26:19 |
| $14: 5,14: 6,14: 17$ | tend [2]-6:9, 17:22 | $24: 3$ | write [1]-5:12 |
| someone [1] - 24:4 | testimony [1] - 29:3 | $19: 13,20: 5,20: 7$ | X |
| sort [2] - 26:10, 26:25 | thereto [1]-24:25 | 21:23, 26:19, 27:22 |  |
| sounds [1] - 11:21 | thinking [1] - 18:10 | upland [1] - 8:18 | X100991 [1]-29:18 |
| south [1] - 4:19 <br> southwest [1]-7:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { thoroughfare [1] - } \\ & \text { 19:17 } \end{aligned}$ | urinating [1] - 12:20 <br> urination [1] - 13:17 | $Y$ |
| spelling [1] - 13:19 | thoughts [1] - 17:21 | uses [1]-17:15 |  |
| spend [1] - 15:7 | three [3] - 5:10, 5:14, |  | yard [1] - 19:23 |
| Spring [8]-17:1, 21:1, | 16:8 | V | year [3] - 15:4, 15:8, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 21: 5,21: 11,21: 20 \\ & 21: 25,22: 1,22: 6 \end{aligned}$ | thruway [1] - 21:15 <br> Title [3]-6:18, 8:4, 9:2 | Valley [8]-17:1, 21:1, | 15:10 <br> years [1] - 23:3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Square }[2]-3: 25, \\ & 27: 21 \end{aligned}$ | today [1] - 26:22 <br> today's [1] - 4:25 | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 5,21: 12,21: 20 \\ & 21: 25,22: 1,22: 6 \end{aligned}$ | York [1] - 21:22 |
| SQUARE [1] - 1:4 | tonight [1] - $26: 5$ | VECCHIO [22] - 1.22, | Z |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { standard [2] - 19:9, } \\ & 19: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tonnage [2] }-19: 22, \\ & 22: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 16,7: 25,14: 2 \\ & 14: 7,14: 9,14: 12 \end{aligned}$ | Zitelli [3] - 3:18, 11:24, |
| start [4]-4:6, 10:14, | took [2]-4:21, 27:16 | $15: 12,16: 14,18: 20$ | 25:19 |
| $10: 21,11: 18$ | $\text { top }[1]-26: 20$ | 19:1, 19:7, 19:12, | ZITELLI [5]-1:16, |
| starter $[1]-7: 5$ | town [2]-20:3, 20:8 | 19:16, 19:20, 19:23, | 3:19, 11:25, 25:20, |
| starting [3]-11:10, | tractor [1] - 19:25 | 20:18, 22:23, 24:5, | 27:25 |
| 11:21, 12:15 | traffic [1] - 10:6 | $24: 20,25: 24,26: 4$ | zoysia [3] - 12:3, 12:5 |
| State [1] - 7:7 | trailer [1] - 19:25 | Vecchio [6]-7:14, |  |

